

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/636,237	Applicant(s) BERTHET ET AL.	
	Examiner Jean B Corielus	Art Unit 2637	

All Participants:

(1) Jean B Corielus.

(2) Richrad Wulff.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 13 April 2005 & 3/25/05

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

3- 6, 9 and 10-16

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant's rep. agrees to amend the above claims as indicated in the attached examiner's amendment. Such amendment is necessary to correct for some minor informalities so as to place the claims in better form for allowance

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)